

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/688,229	10/17/2003		Douglas N. Hess	P-11127.00	9463	
27581	7590	02/13/2006		EXAMINER		
MEDTRON	-		ROBERTS, DARIN			
710 MEDTRONIC PARK MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55432-9924				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				3762	3762	

DATE MAILED: 02/13/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	Office Action Summer	10/688,229	HESS ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Darin R. Roberts	3762			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app r Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
WHIC - Exten after: - If NO - Failur Any n	CRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAYS OF THE MAILING DAYS OF THE MONTHS FROM THE MAILING DAYS OF THE MAIL	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	I. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 Oc	ctober 2003.				
2a)	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.			
Dispositi	on of Claims					
 4) Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-5 and 9-18 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 6-8 and 19-22 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 						
Applicati	on Papers					
10) 🗌	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 2.	epted or b) objected to by the I drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
2) Notice Notice Notice	t(s) te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449,or PTO/SB/08) tr No(s)/Mail Date 2/22/2005. Qn (8/05/04	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	(PTO-413) ate Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/688,229

Art Unit: 3762

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Claims 1-5, 8 & 12-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Min et al. (US 6937897 B2).

In reference to *claim 1 & 5*, the Min et al. patent teaches an implantable medical device (see abstract), comprising; an elongated body including a lumen and an elongated member extending within the lumen (see fig. 3 & column 3, lines 9-13), a distal tip coupled to the body and including a canted passageway extending distally from the lumen of the body and an opening terminating the passageway and positioned in proximity to a distal end of the distal tip (see fig. 3, & fig. 5B). the Min et al. patent

Application/Control Number: 10/688,229 Page 3

Art Unit: 3762

also teaches a helical fixation element coupled to the elongated member and adapted to deflect along the canted passageway of the distal tip (see column 4, lead lines 62-64 & fig. 1) wherein the elongated member is adapted to move the helical element through the passageway of the distal tip and out the opening and to rotate the helical element thereby affixing the helical element into an implant site (see fig. 1 & fig. 3 & column 5, lead lines 47-61).

In reference to *claim 2*, the Min et al. patent teaches a device of claim 1, wherein the helical fixation element deflects by means of a flexible coupling with the elongated member (fig.3).

In reference to *claim 3*, the Min et al. patent teaches a device wherein the flexible coupling is referred to as a conductor (se fig. 3)

In reference to *claim 4*, the conductor coil of fig. 3 can be described as a spring that acts as a coupling device.

In reference to *claim 12*, the Min et al. patent teaches a medical device wherein the elongated member comprises a conductor and the helical fixation element comprises an electrode see (fig. 5B).

In reference to *claim 13*, the Min et al. patent teaches a medical device wherein the body further includes an elongated conductor extending therein and the distal tip further includes an electrode coupled to the conductor (see fig. 3 & column 5, lead lines 47-61).

Application/Control Number: 10/688,229

Art Unit: 3762

In reference **to claims 14 & 15**, the Min et al. patent teaches a medical device wherein the electrode is positioned adjacent to and distal as well as proximal to the opening of the distal tip (see fig. 1 & fig. 3).

In reference to *claims 16*, the Min et al. patent teaches a medical device wherein the distal tip of the further includes an asymmetrical radial section facilitating orientation of the opening of the distal tip toward the implant site, because the right branch of the figure 7 embodiment teaches an asymmetrical radial section facilitating orientation of the opening of the distal tip and it directs the distal tip toward the implant site (see fig. 7).

In reference to *claims 17*, the Min et al. patent teaches a medical device of wherein the distal end of the asymmetrical radial section takes on the shape of a tubular bell (see fig. 7).

In reference to *claims 18*, the Min et al. patent teaches a medical device wherein the elongated body includes a curved distal portion in proximity to the coupling with the distal tip, the curved distal portion facilitating orientation of the opening of the distal tip toward the implant site (see fig. 7 & fig. 8).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/688,229 Page 5

Art Unit: 3762

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 9-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Min et al. (US 6937897 B2).

In reference to *claim 9-11*, Min et al. discloses the invention substantially as claimed, however lacks a teaching of the helical element being of a platinum-iridium alloy, a super elastic metal, or a synthetic resin, however, it is known in the art for one to use such materials for making fixation elements, therefore, absent any teaching of criticality or unexpected result, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to employ any durable, biocompatible material capable of fixating the lead to the desired location, in place of the Min et al. fixation helix material as a mere choice in engineering design.

Art Unit: 3762

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 6-8 & 19-22 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The Bonner (US 5609621 A), Gold (US 446375 A), and Wittkampf (US 4136703 A) patents are all being cited because each teaches an implantable lead possessing a helical fixation element and a distal end that is either curved or capable of being curved.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Darin R. Roberts whose telephone number is (571) 272-5558. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30am to 4:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Angela D. Sykes can be reached on (571) 272-4955. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-9900.

Application/Control Number: 10/688,229 Page 7

Art Unit: 3762

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Darin R. Roberts Patent Examiner Art Unit 3762

D.R.

JEFFREY R. JASTRZAB PRIMARY EXAMINER

2/4/4